

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,302	02/27/2002	Masanori Taketsugu	Q68714	5539	
23373	7590 10/11/2005		EXAMINER		
	MION, PLLC	TRAN, TUAN A			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			. 2682		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/11/2009	DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/083,302	3,302 TAKETSUGU, MASANORI			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tuan A. Tran	2682			

	Tuali A. Hali	2002					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control of		jected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	•	·	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		III be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "the communication quality is based on an error rate" has never been claimed before; therefore it is considered new issue that would require further consideration and/or search. .

Author la Along 10/5/05

QUOCHIEN B. VUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER